## Search for new physics in semitauonic B decays at B factories

Karol M. Adamczyk<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences

Kraków / Ljubljana, 26/03/2021

<ロ> < 団> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Outline

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (100 - 100 -

- Hints of anomalies and NP scenarios in semileptonic B decays
- Polarization measurements in semitauonic B decays
- Summary and prospects

# Experimental puzzles at semileptonic B decays



- Hint of violation of LFU in  $R_{K^{(*)}} = \frac{\Gamma(B \to K^{(*)} \mu^- \mu^+)}{\Gamma(B \to K^{(*)} e^- e^+)} \text{ (LHCb)}$   $(R_{K^{(*)}} \text{ puzzle)}$
- Tension in  $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$  angular observables
- Rare decays with good signatures can be measured precisely by LHCb



- Measurements by different experiment (BaBar, Belle, LHCb) favor larger than expected  $R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)}\tau^+\nu_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)}\ell^+\nu_{\ell})}$  $(R_{D^{(*)}} \text{ puzzle})$
- measurements of differential observables in semitauonic B decays with high precision on Belle II data
- methodology for new measurments can be prototyped and developed on Belle data

#### Semitauonic B decays



Arithmetic average of SM predictions from  
HFLAV:  

$$R(D^*)^{\text{SM}} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D}^* \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D}^* \ell^+ \nu_{\ell})} = 0.258 \pm 0.005$$
  
 $R(D)^{\text{SM}} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D} \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B \to \bar{D} \ell^+ \nu_{\ell})} = 0.299 \pm 0.003$ 

#### New Physics scenarios



◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ⑦ � ( ) ◆ 4/24

### Experimental techniques @ B factories

#### Tagging techniques efficiency . Inclusive $B \rightarrow hadrons$ (inclusive modes) $\epsilon \approx O(1\%)$ A. Matyja: PRL 99, 191807, (2007), A. Bozek: PRD 82, 072005, (2010) Semileptonic $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu_{\ell}$ $\epsilon \approx O(0.3\%)$ Y. Sato: PRD 94, 072007, (2016) G. Caria: PRL 124, 161803, (2020) Hadronic $B \rightarrow hadrons$ (exclusive modes) purity $\epsilon \approx O(0.1\%)$ M. Huschle: PRD 92, 072014, (2015),

S. Hirose: PRL 118, 211801, (2017)

Contribution of Belle group from Kraków: BF measurments First observation of  $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\tau^+\nu_{\tau}$  Decay at Belle PRL 99, 191807, (2007). Observation of  $B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0}\tau^+\nu_{\tau}$  and evidence for  $B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}^0\tau^+\nu_{\tau}$  at Belle PRD 82, 072005, (2010).



 at least 2 neutrinos in final state → exclusive production of BB pairs at B factories; kinematical constrains from beam energy; B<sub>tag</sub>direction;

#### Experimental situation



#### HFLAV

$$\begin{split} R_D &= 0.340 \pm 0.027_{stat} \pm 0.013_{syst} \\ R_{D^*} &= \\ 0.295 \pm 0.011_{stat} \pm 0.008_{syst} \end{split}$$

deviation from SM:  $\sim 1.4\sigma$  for R(D)  $\sim 2.5\sigma$  for  $R(D^*)$   $\sim 3.08\sigma$  tension between SM and combined  $R(D^{(*)})$  by BaBar, Belle and LHCb

 $\rightarrow$  other observables not fully explored yet

### Another observables

## in semitauonic B decays $D^*$ and $\tau$ polarizations sensitive probes of various NP scenarios



# Kinematic variables describing $B ightarrow {\cal D}^{(*)} au u$



 $q^2 \equiv M_W^2$  - effective mass squared of the  $\tau \nu$  system  $\theta_{\tau}$  - angle between  $\tau \& B$  in  $W^*$  rest

frame

 $\chi$  - angle between the  $\tau \nu$  and  $D^*$  decay planes

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\rm hel}(D^*) \ \text{- angle between } D\&B \ \text{in } D^* \\ \text{rest frame} \\ \theta_{\rm hel}(\tau) \ \text{- angle between } \pi\& \ \text{direction} \\ \text{opposite to } W^* \ \text{in } \tau \ \text{rest frame} \end{array}$ 

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{hel}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha P_{\tau}\cos\theta_{hel}(\tau))$$
  

$$\alpha = 1.0 \text{ for } \tau \to \pi\nu; \quad \alpha = 0.45 \text{ for } \tau \to \rho\nu$$
  

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{hel}(D^*)} = \frac{3}{4}[2F_L^{D^*}\cos^2(\theta_{hel}(D^*)) + (1 - F_L^{D^*})\sin^2(\theta_{hel}(D^*))]$$

 $q^2$ ,  $M_M^2$  and  $\cos \theta_{\text{hel}}(\tau)$ ,  $\cos \theta_{\text{hel}}(D^*)$  can be reconstructed at B-factories with hadronic decays of  $B_{\text{tag}}$ 

#### First measurement of $\tau$ polarization in $B \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu$

#### PRL. 118, 211801 (2017); done by Nagoya group (S. Hirose, T. Ijima)

both  $\bar{B^0}$  and  $B^-$  decays are used

#### Experimental challenges

- only 2 body au decays:  $au o \pi 
  u, 
  ho 
  u$
- distribution of  $\cos \theta_{hel}(\tau)$  is modified by:
  - cross-feeds from other  $\tau$  decays (contribute mainly in the region of  $\cos \theta_{hel}(\tau) < 0$ )
  - peaking background (concentrated around  $\cos \theta_{hel}(\tau) \approx 1$ )
- corrections for detector effects: acceptance, asymmetric  $\cos\theta_{hel}$  bins, crosstalks between different  $\tau$  decays
- for  $\tau \to \pi(\rho)\nu$  modes combinatorial background from poorly known hadronic B decays

sample divided into two bins of  $cos\theta_{hel}$ : 1: -1 <  $cos\theta_{hel} < 0$ :

I: 
$$0 < \cos\theta_{\text{hel}} < 0.8$$
 (for  $\tau \to \pi \nu$ )

$$P_{\tau} = \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma_{\cos\theta_{\rm hel} > 0} - \Gamma_{\cos\theta_{\rm hel} < 0}}{\Gamma_{\cos\theta_{\rm hel} > 0} + \Gamma_{\cos\theta_{\rm hel} < 0}}$$



### Results

PRL 118, 211801 (2017); done by Nagoya group (S. Hirose, T. Ijima)



first measurement of P<sub>τ</sub>(D<sup>\*</sup>); the result excludes P<sub>τ</sub>(D<sup>\*</sup>)> +0.5 at 90% C.L.

## D\* polarization studies

done by Kraków group

 $R(D^{(*)})$  systematically above the SM expectations, surprisingly large effect for  $R(D^*)$ then for  $R(D) \Rightarrow D^*$  polarization measurement

Measure  $F_{l}^{D^{*}}$  from fit to  $\cos \theta_{\text{hel}}(D^{*})$  distribution:  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{\rm hel}(D^*)} = \frac{3}{4}[2\boldsymbol{F}_L^{D^*}\cos^2(\theta_{\rm hel}(D^*)) + (1-\boldsymbol{F}_L^{D^*})\sin^2(\theta_{\rm hel}(D^*))]$ In comparison to  $\tau$  polarization: + all  $\tau$  decays are useful  $\rightarrow$  larger  $\mathbf{B}^0$  $W^{*+}$  $\mathbf{D}^*$ statistic less affected by cross-feeds between different  $\tau$  decays 1.2 theoretical papers on  $D^*$  polarization studies: Z.-R. Huang et al., PRD 98, 095018, (2018) 0.8  $((F_L^{D^*})_{\rm SM} = 0.441 \pm 0.006)$ 0.6  $F_{I}^{D^{*}}=0.5$ 0.4 Bhattacharya, S., Nandi, S., Patra, S.K., Eur. Phys. J. C SM 0.2 79, 268 (2019) 0\_1  $((F_{L}^{D^{*}})_{\rm SM} = 0.457 \pm 0.010)$  $\cos\theta_{hel}(D$ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

### Experimental challenges

Main experimental problem:

strong acceptance effects for  $\cos \theta_{\rm hel}(D^*) \geq 0.0$  for large  $q^2$ 

relative efficiency

distribution of slow  $\pi^{\pm}$  from  $D^*$ 



Effectively only  $\cos \theta_{hel}(D^*) < 0$  is useful for  $F_L^{D^*}$  measurement

#### Method of reconstruction

Reconstruct **inclusively**  $B_{\text{tag}}$ . First we find  $B_{sig}$  candidates:  $(D^* + (\text{h or } \ell))$ , from rest of event we reconstruct candidates for  $B_{tag}$  and calculate:

 $E_{tag} = \sum_{i} E_{i} \quad \mathbf{p}_{tag} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{p}_{i} \text{ variables to identify } B_{tag} : M_{tag} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^{2} - \mathbf{p}_{tag}^{2}},$  $\Delta E_{tag} = E_{beam} - E_{tag}$ 

Extract number of signal events by fitting  $M_{tag}$  distributions in bins of  $\cos \theta_{hel}(D^*)$ ;



This approach allows for signal extraction using **known** PDF's (CrystalBall and Argus) parametrizations;

3 Measure  $F_L^{D^*}$  from fit to obtained  $\cos \theta_{hel}(D^*)$  distribution;

### Signal extraction

≡ ∽੧ೕ 14/24

- the signal yields are extracted from a simultaneous, extended UML-fit to all 9 sub-channels in the *M<sub>tag</sub>* distributions
- procedure is performed in 3 bins of  $\cos \theta_{hel}(D^*)$  in the range [-1,0]; I:  $-1.0 < \cos \theta_{hel}(D^*) < -0.67$ II:  $-0.67 < \cos \theta_{hel}(D^*) < -0.33$ III:  $-0.33 < \cos \theta_{hel}(D^*) < 0.0$
- example fit projection to  $M_{\text{tag}}$  distribution in the range  $-1.0 < \cos \theta_{\text{hel}}(D^*) < -0.67$  on 2nd stream of **Monte Carlo** generic:



## Preliminary results for $F_L^{D^*}$ measurement in $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu$



- A. Abdesselam *et al.* [Belle], "Measurement of the D<sup>\*</sup> − polarization in the decay B<sup>0</sup> → D<sup>\*-</sup>τ<sup>+</sup>ν<sub>τ</sub>", arXiv:1903.03102 [hep-ex].
- K. Adamczyk [Belle and Belle-II], "Semitauonic B decays at Belle/Belle II," http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2565845 arXiv:1901.06380 [hep-ex].
- agrees within 1.7 σ of the SM prediction
- dominant systematics from MC statistics (sig, peaking and comb. bkg. PDF shapes) = ±0.03
- the result obtained assuming the SM dynamics

≡ ∽ ९ € 15/24

 last step: uncertainty from signal model in NP scenarios

#### Prospects @ Belle

- combine charged and neutral B samples to measure D\* polarization

### Summary

- R(D),  $R(D^*)$ ,  $P_{\tau}(D^{(*)})$  and  $F_L^{D^*}$  in  $\overline{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu$  are good probes for NP
- First measurement of  $\tau$  polarization in  $B \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu$ :  $P_{\tau}(D^*) = -0.38 \pm 0.51(stat.)^{+0.21}_{-0.16}(syst.)$
- First measurement of  $D^*$  polarization in  $B^0(\overline{B}^0) \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu$  $F_L^{D^*} = 0.60 \pm 0.08(stat.) \pm 0.04(syst.)$
- measurements sensivity limited by the statistics

## Prospects @ Belle II

#### The Belle II Physics Book, arXiv:1808.10567

- Belle: 0.772 x 10<sup>9</sup> BB;
- Belle II:  $\sim$  50 x 10<sup>9</sup>  $B\overline{B}$  (x 50 Belle statistic) (50  $^{-1}ab$ )
- expected number of events for  $P_{\tau}(D^*)$  measurement:
  - ~ 4000 in  $B^0(\overline{B^0})$  mode (hadronic  $B_{\text{tag}}$  reconstruction)
  - ~ 10000 in  $B^+(B^-)$  mode (hadronic  $B_{tag}$  reconstruction)
- expected number of events for F<sub>L</sub><sup>D\*</sup> measurement:
  - ~ 15000 in  $B^0(\overline{B^0})$  mode (inclusive  $B_{\text{tag}}$  reconstruction)

#### Room for improvements on Belle/Belle II data

- particle ID done by ML algorithm  $\rightarrow$  efficiency/fake rate improvement
- inclusive B<sub>tag</sub> reconstruction based on BDT
- improved VXD resolution  $\rightarrow$  use vertices and IP to create topological discriminator
- higher statistics and better reconstruction efficiencies (i.a. slow π from D\*) should allow for precise measurements of kinematic distributions, e.g. q<sup>2</sup>, polarizations, F<sub>L</sub><sup>D\*</sup>(q<sup>2</sup>)

## BACKUP

<ロト < @ ト < 主 > < 主 > 三 の < で 18/24

### The Belle Experiment





#### *KEKB* B-factory - asymmetric $e^+e^-$ collider $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ (772 x 10<sup>6</sup> $B\overline{B}$ )

• clean source of *B* meson pairs

High Energy Ring (HER)

 reconstruction of one B meson (B<sub>tag</sub>) provides information on momentum vector and other quantum numbers of another B (B<sub>sig</sub>)

• 
$$E_B = E_{\text{beam}} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}$$

**KEKB** 

Interaction Region

SGeV

Low Energy Ring (LER

## Modification of *D*<sup>\*</sup> polarization in NP scenarios

PRD 95 115038, (2017)



ク へ (~ 20/24

## Differential observables to examine NP scenarios

#### PRD 94, 072007 (2016); semileptonic B<sub>tag</sub>



- Measured distributions of p<sub>D\*</sub> and p<sub>l</sub> consistent with SM but do not provide enough discriminating power due to statistical limitation
- More observables with more data needed to clarify the situation

The angular observables not yet (fully) explored experimentally

# First au polarization measurement in semitauonic B decys

done by Nagoya group

 $\cos \theta_{hel}(\tau)$  can be measured if there is a single  $\nu$  in  $\tau$  decay  $\tau \rightarrow h\nu_{\tau}, h = \pi, \rho, a_1$ 

Spin analysers:  $\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{hel}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha P_{\tau}\cos\theta_{hel}(\tau))$ 



<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## $\cos \theta_{ m hel}( au)$ reconstruction

contribution from Kraków group

## $\tau$ momentum vector is not fully determined

 $au 
ightarrow \mathbf{h} 
u_{ au}, \, \mathbf{h} = \pi, \rho$ 



$$\stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{}}{\to}}{} D^0 \pi \\ B \to D^* W^* (\to \tau \nu)$$

in CM of W\*

• 
$$E_{\tau} = rac{M_W^2 + M_{\tau}^2}{2M_W}; p_{\tau} = p_{\nu_1} = rac{M_W^2 - M_{\tau}^2}{2M_W};$$

• 
$$E_h = \frac{M_W^2 + M_h^2 - M_M^2}{2M_W};$$

• 
$$\cos \theta_{\tau h} = \frac{2E_{\tau}E_{h} - (M_{\tau}^{2} + M_{h}^{2})}{2E_{\nu_{1}}p_{h}}$$

• Lorentz transformation from the rest frame of the  $\tau - \overline{\nu}$  to the rest frame of  $\tau$ :  $|\vec{p}_{d}^{\tau}|cos\theta_{hel} = -\gamma |\vec{\beta}|E_{d} + \gamma |\vec{p}_{d}^{\tau}|cos\theta_{\tau d}$ 

• 
$$\Rightarrow \cos \theta_{hel}(\tau)$$

### Validation of BSTD MC generator

contribution from Kraków group

- find disagreement between ISGW2 and BSTD  $\rightarrow$  lack of the interference terms important in certain angular distributions
- contribute to validate distributions from BtoSemiTauonicDecays (BSTD) MC generator

