

Search for the second class current with the τ decay into $\pi\eta\nu$

 \bigcirc Kazuya Ogawa¹, Michel Hernández Villanueva², Kiyoshi Hayasaka¹ for Belle collaboration 1.Niigata University 2.The University of Mississippi

Abstract:

The hadronic weak currents without strangeness can be classified into the first- (second-) class currents, according to their G-transformation properties and defined as having $PG(-1)^{J} = +1$ (-1), where P is parity, G is G-parity and J is spin of the decay current. In the Standard Model, the second-class current is strongly suppressed due to isospin symmetry and no such current has been observed so far. The tau decay $\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta v$ can be induced through the second-class current only and various theoretical predictions give for its branching fraction O(10⁻⁵), that is reachable with the available Belle data sample. We report status of our analysis of $\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta v$, based on Monte Carlo simulated samples corresponding to Belle full data.

1. Introduction

Belle experiment

The Belle experiment is not only a B-factory but also a τ -factory. The world's largest statistics of tau, ~ $10^9 \tau \tau$ pair events have been collected at the Belle detector.

KEKB

electron – positron asymmetric energy collider

- $E_{e^-} = 8 \text{ GeV}, E_{e^+} = 3.5 \text{ GeV}$
- Peak luminosity = 2.11×10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹ ullet
- Integrated luminosity $\int Ldt \cong 1ab^{-1}$



 μ/K_1 detector

Central Draft Chamber

(*P*: parity, *J*: spin of the decay current)

4. MC Study

Signal MC study

signal extraction:

yield is evaluated by a fit for the eta peak in the $M_{\pi\pi\pi^0}$ distribution.

using both $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ combinations ($\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\nu$) from signal-side particles (π^{0} 4momentum is obtained from Kinematical fit) $M_{\pi\pi\pi^0}$ for signalMC Fit with 2Gaussian + 2nd polynomial function. Signal region is defined as 547 ± 15 MeV that 2gauss+pol2 corresponds to mean \pm 5 σ region of the main peak of the signal shape (blue gauss).

 $Y_{4s} = 702.9 \text{fb}^{-1}$ **TOF** counter Y_{4s}, Y_{5s} , continuum =915.1 fb⁻¹ (Basic study is done only with Υ_{4s} data size. And then, the results are normalized Si vtx. det. $\Upsilon_{4s}, \Upsilon_{5s}$, continuum data size.)

Analysis motivation

The weak hadronic currents without strangeness can be classified into two types depending on G-parity(G);

 $PG(-1)^{J} = +1$: first-class current (fcc)

 $PG(-1)^{J} = -1$: second-class current (scc)

 $\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu$ can be realized through SCC. Theoretical predictions: BR($\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu$)~10⁻⁵.





Hereafter, the Br^{Belle} $(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \eta \nu) = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ is used as a reference.

 $(4.64 \pm 0.03) \times 10^4$ events are obtained from 1.3×10^7 events. \rightarrow Efficiency is 0.350 \pm 0.002%

Estimation for eta peaking BGs

- using generator info., pick up BG mode
- make $M_{\pi\pi\pi^0}$ histogram
- perform a fit with signal shape and 2nd polynomial (signal shape is fixed and evaluated using signal MC)
- yield and its statistical error are obtained by the fit.

	# of n (fit result) for	Μ _{πππ⁰}	
	702 fb ⁻¹ samples	Rehearsal data	
yield for rehearsal data ($ au au MC$)	419.05 ± 82.57		
yield for $M_{\pi\pi\pi^0}$ of $\tau \to K\eta\nu$	35.15 ± 2.24		
yield for $M_{\pi\pi\pi^0}$ of $\tau \to K^* \eta \nu$	113.98 ± 4.07	400 300 + 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
yield for $M_{\pi\pi\pi^0}$ of $\tau \to \pi\pi^0\eta\nu$	259.09 ± 6.13	200 100 Prob 1 p0 67.69 ± 13.34 p6 515.5 ± 6.0 p7 12.39 ± 0.25 p8 33.25 ± 9.73	
qąBG	72.85 ± 14.10	0.5 0.51 0.52 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.59 0.6 M _{arr} [GeV]	

For the demonstration, we consider $\tau\tau$ MC sample as a 702 fb⁻¹ data samples here. (rehearsal data)

The signal yield is estimated by the difference (null result):

$$T_{\tau} = -\left(N^{K\eta\nu} + N^{K^*\eta\nu} + N^{\pi\pi^0\eta\nu}(+N^{q\bar{q}})\right) = 16 \quad 06 + 86.04$$

2. Selection criteria of $\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu, \eta \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi^0$

- Definition of good charged track
- $P_t > 0.06 \text{GeV/c}^2$ in Barrel(-0.6235 < cos θ < 0.8332) $P_t > 0.1 \text{GeV/c}^2$ in Endcap(-0.8660 < $\cos\theta \leq -0.6235$, 0.8332 $\leq \cos\theta < 0.9563$) helix: $|dr| \leq 1$ cm, $|dz| \leq 5$ cm
- Definition of good gamma
- $-0.8660 < \cos\theta < 0.9563 \& E_{\gamma} > 0.05 \text{GeV}$
- Missing angle at lab frame: -0.8660 $< \cos \theta_{miss} < 0.9563$
- Divide the event by the thrust vector into two hemispheres the tag and signal sides

Tag-side (leptonic tag; $\tau \rightarrow l\nu\nu$):

```
Allow 1 lepton and \leq 1\gamma (to accept FSR or ISR)
invariant mass of all tag-side: M_{tag} < 1.8 \text{GeV/c}^2
<u>Signal side :</u>
```

```
Allow 3\pi and 2\gamma only (0.105 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.165 \text{GeV}).
M_{sig} < 1.2 \mathrm{GeV/c^2}
```



3. Main BG modes

The main background (BG) comes from decay modes in the list to the right. Since $\tau \to \pi \eta \pi^0 \nu$ and $K^* (\to K_L \pi) \eta \nu$ have much larger Br than expected Br for signal, their rates seriously affect the systematics. The amounts of Monte Carlo samples used for the processes (generic $\tau\tau$, $q\bar{q}$) are at least five times larger than the experimental data.

<u>n peaking BG</u> - $\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \pi^0 \nu (\pi^0 \text{ missing})$: $Br=(1.39\pm0.07)\times10^{-3}$

 $N_{\pi\pi\pi^{0}}^{\tau\tau} - \left(N_{\pi\pi\pi^{0}}^{\kappa_{\eta\nu}} + N_{\pi\pi\pi^{0}}^{\kappa_{\eta\nu}} + N_{\pi\pi\pi^{0}}^{\pi\pi\pi^{0}} \left(+N_{\pi\pi\pi^{0}}^{\pi\pi^{0}}\right)\right) = 16. \ \text{Ub} \pm \text{Ob.U4}$

Since $\tau\tau$ MC don't have $\tau \rightarrow \pi\eta v$ events, this yield is expected to be 0. $(N_{\pi\pi\pi^0}^{q\bar{q}}$ is included into error only)

significance

When number of signal N_{sig} and significance are defined as $2\epsilon' N_{\tau\tau pair} Br(\tau \to \pi \eta \nu)$ and $\frac{N_{sig}}{N_{sigerr}}$, significances for each assumption of luminosity L and $Br(\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu)$ are shown in the table below.

$Br(\tau \to \pi \eta \nu)$	L, fb ⁻¹	N _{sig}	<i>sign</i> ificance, σ
4.4×10^{-5}	702.9	245	2.3
	915.1	259	2.6
1.0×10^{-5}	702.9	45	0.5
	915.1	59	0.6

5. $\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu$, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

In the previous study, this process is not considered since it has huge BG. But according to rough estimations, it turns out that this also has similar significance. This should be also seriously considered later.



 0.008 ± 0.00

4.644 ± 0.155 -197.9 ± 6.9

- $\tau \to K^* \eta \nu, K^* \to K_L \pi$ (K₁ missing): $Br=(1.38\pm0.15)\times10^{-4}$ - $\tau \rightarrow K\eta\nu$ (Pid misidentification):
- Br= $(1.55\pm0.08) \times 10^{-4}$
- $q\bar{q}$ including π and η
- Non-peaking BG $-\tau \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi \pi^0 \nu$: Br=(4.62±0.05)%
- $\tau \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi \nu$ with fake π^0

Efficiency for signal MC = 1.50%. In the case of $Br(\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu) = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{L} = 915.1 \text{fb}^{-1}$, # of signal = 1111 and yield for rehearsal data ($\tau \tau MC$) = 1.3 × 10⁵. rough significance[σ]=





6. Summary & discussion

- In order to search for $\tau \to \pi \eta \nu$, we study the sensitivity using $\tau \to \pi \eta \nu$ with $\eta \to \pi \pi \pi^0$, based on Monte Carlo simulated samples corresponding to Belle's full data.
- We evaluate significance with full data (915fb⁻¹: γ_{4s} , γ_{5s} , continuum). It is 2.6 σ for $Br(\tau \to \pi \eta \nu) = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ or 0.6 σ for $Br(\tau \to \pi \eta \nu) = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$.
- For $\tau \to \pi \eta \nu$ with $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$, 3.0 σ may be expected with $Br(\tau \to \pi \eta \nu) = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ and full data. We need to study it more seriously to combine.
- If the hadronic tag ($\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu$) is included, according to naive estimation that multiplied by square root of efficiency increase, the significance is 3.4 σ for $Br(\tau \rightarrow \pi \eta \nu) = 4.4 \times$ 10^{-5} and full data.
- We aim for the first evidence of second class current !