


Aerogel RICH and TOP: status report


Peter Križan

University of Ljubljana and J. Stefan Institute

Super B factory workshop, Frascati, March 16-18, 2006




Belle upgrade – side view




Two new particle ID devices, both RICHes:

Barrel: **Time-Of-Propagation (TOP)** or **focusing DIRC**

Endcap: **proximity focusing RICH**



Endcap: Proximity focusing RICH




K/ π separation at 4 GeV/c:

$$\theta_c(\pi) - \theta_c(K) \sim 23 \text{ mrad}$$

measured: $\sigma_0 \sim 13-14 \text{ mrad}$


→ 6σ separation with $N_{pe} \sim 10$



→ NIM A521 (2004)367

Beam test results with 2cm thick aerogel tiles:
>4 σ K/ π separation


→ need more photons




Radiator with multiple refractive indices

How to increase the number of photons without degrading the resolution?

→ stack two tiles with different refractive indices: "focusing" configuration






NIM A548 (2005) 383






Focusing configuration – data, 2004




4cm aerogel single index




2+2cm aerogel



March 17, 2006




Multiple radiator: Optimisation of radiator parameters



Minimized: error per track


$$\sigma_{track} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{det}}} \sqrt{\sigma_{emp}^2 + \sigma_{det}^2 + \sigma_{rest}^2}$$

vary parameters $n_2 - n_1$, D_0 , D_2/D_1




→ robust design, little influence from variation in $n_2 - n_1$ and D_2/D_1

→ physics/0603022




Comparison with the data

Single photon sigma vs $n_2 - n_1$



Data: december
2005 beam test


Curve:
expectation



Multiple radiators: optimized

Number of layers	one	two	three	four
Thickness (cm)	1.9	3.2	4.4	5.6
Single photon σ_0	12.8	12.5	12.6	12.8
N_p	5.7	9.0	11.9	14.7
σ_{track}	5.4	4.2	3.7	3.3

→ The improvement in σ_{track} comes from the increase in the number of photons.





Photon detectors for the aerogel RICH requirements and candidates



Need: Operation in a high magnetic field (1.5T)
Pad size $\sim 5\text{-}6\text{mm}$


Candidates:

- MCP PMT (Burle 85011)
- large active area HAPD of the proximity focusing type



HAPD R&D project in collaboration with HPK.

Problems: sealing the tube at the window-ceramic box interface,
photocathode activation changes the properties of APD.





Photon detector R&D: Burle MCP-PMT



BURLE 85011 MCP-PMT:


- multi-anode PMT with 2 MCPs
- 25 μm pores
- bialkali photocathode
- gain $\sim 0.6 \times 10^6$
- collection efficiency $\sim 60\%$
- box dimensions $\sim 71\text{mm}$ square
- 64(8x8) anode pads
- pitch $\sim 6.45\text{mm}$, gap $\sim 0.5\text{mm}$
- active area fraction $\sim 52\%$

count rates - all channels: charge sharing at pad boundaries



March 17, 2006

→ Proc. IEEE NSS 2004



Burle MCP PMT beam test



Resolution and number of photons (clusters)

- $\sigma_g \sim 13$ mrad (single cluster)
- number of clusters per track $N \sim 4.5$
- $\sigma_g \sim 6$ mrad (per track)
- > $\sim 4 \sigma \pi/K$ separation at 4 GeV/c

Open questions


Operation in high magnetic field:

- the present tube with $25\mu\text{m}$ pores only works up to 0.8T, for 1.5T need $\sim 10\mu\text{m}$
- $10\mu\text{m}$ version with 4 channels available since June, tests done (J. Va'vra)

Number of photons per ring: too small. Possible improvements:

- bare tubes (52% \rightarrow 63%)
- increase active area fraction (bare tube 63% \rightarrow 85%)
- increase the photo-electron collection efficiency (from 60% at present up to 70%)
- > Extrapolation from the present data 4.5 \rightarrow 8.5 clusters per ring
- σ_g : 6 mrad \rightarrow 4.5 mrad (per track)
- > $> 5 \sigma \pi/K$ separation at 4 GeV/c

Aging of MCP-PMTs ?



TOP counter R&D status




- Ring Imaging Cherenkov counter with **precise measurement of the Time Of Propagation** (and TOF)


- Quartz Radiator & Photon Detector
- Reconstruct ring image from (X, TOP)

$$TOP = L/v_g^z(\lambda)$$

$v_g(\lambda)$: The group velocity of light


⇒ **Chromatic dispersion:**






TOP baseline design

- Radiator: Quartz bar of $255\text{cm}^L \times 40\text{cm}^W \times 2\text{cm}^T \times 18$ units in ϕ segmented at $\theta = 46^\circ$ to reduce chromatic dispersion error
- Photon detector: Multi-anode MCP-PMT at three readout planes SL10 (R&D w/ HPK) : 5mm pitch linear array, $\sigma_{\text{TTS}} \sim 30$ ps.





Photon detectors for TOP counter




Tests on the bench: amplification and time resolution in high magnetic field.

3 MCP-PMTs studied: Burle (25 μm pores), BINP (6 μm pores),
Hamamatsu SL10 (6 and 10 μm pores)

All: good time resolution at $B=0$, 25 μm pore tube does not work at 1.5T

→ NIM A528 (2004) 763


SL10: cross-talk problem solved by segmenting the electrodes at the MCP



MCP ageing




Study tubes **with and without protective Al foil** (stops feedback ions to reach the photocathode, but reduces the photo-electron collection efficiency by 60%) from two producers, Hamamatsu and BINP, with bi-alkali photocathodes.



→ Al foil is needed

Life-Time -Output Charge vs Relative QE-








TOP counter MC

Expected performance with:

bi-alkali photocathode: $<4\sigma \pi/K$
separation at 4GeV/c (\leftarrow chromatic dispersion)



with GaAsP photocathode:
 $>4\sigma \pi/K$ separation at
4GeV/c




GaAsP vs bialkali: Timing and pulse height spectra




TTS of MCP-PMT with GaAs/GaAsP may be worse due to the thickness of photocathode (1micron instead of 10nm).
→OK

TDC: $\sigma_{TTS} \sim 30ps$



multi-alkali




GaAsP



ADC: Gain $\sim 1.0 \times 10^6$





Pulse height spectra: OK




GaAsP MCP-PMT with pads



- Square-shape MCP-PMT with GaAsP photo-cathode
- First prototype
 - 2 MCP layers
 - $\phi 10\mu\text{m}$ hole
 - 4ch anodes
 - Slightly larger structure
 - Less active area




- Enough gain to detect single photo-electron
- Good time resolution (TTS=42ps) for single p.e.
 - Slightly worse than single anode MCP-PMT (TTS=32ps)
- Next: check the performance in detail, increase active area frac., ageing




Summary

- Aerogel RICH: proof of principle OK, new ways found how to increase the number of photons (focusing radiator); photon detectors for 1.5T under development/study; progress in aerogel production methods (water jet cutting)
- TOP: MC study: reduce chromatic error; MCP PMT operation at 1.5T OK; MCP PMT with GaAsP tested, similar time resolution; ageing tests → need Al foil






Backup slides



How to increase the number of photons?

What is the optimal radiator thickness?

Use beam test data on σ_0 and N_{pe}




Minimize the error per track:

$$\sigma_{track} = \sigma_0 / \sqrt{N_{pe}}$$




Optimum is close to 2 cm



← Minimize track error vs. **relative radiator thickness D_2/D_1**

at fixed total thickness $D_0=4\text{cm}$ and refractive index difference $dn=0.009$




Minimize track error vs. →

relative radiator thickness D_2/D_1 and refractive index difference $n_2 - n_1$

at fixed total thickness $D_0=4\text{cm}$

→ **robust design, little influence** from variation in $n_2 - n_1$ and k




Photon detectors for the aerogel RICH requirements and candidates




Needs:

- Operation in high magnetic field (1.5T)
- High efficiency at $\lambda > 350\text{nm}$
- Pad size $\sim 5\text{-}6\text{mm}$




Candidates:

- large area HPD of the proximity focusing type
- MCP PMT (Burle 85011)




Burle MCP-PMT bench tests



charge sharing at pad boundaries

- slice of the counting rate distribution including the central areas of 8 pads (single channels - colored, all channels - black)

Proc. IEEE NSS 2004




Rectangular PMT: SL10





- 4ch linear array MCP-PMT

Photo cathode	mutli-alkali
MCP ch ϕ	10 μm
# of MCP	2 stage
# pixel/size	1 \times 4 / 5mm \times 22mm
Geometrical C.E.	50%
Eff. area(2cm ^T)	77%
Gain (HV)	2×10^6 (-3.5 kV)
σ_{TTS} (HV, B)	$\sim 30\text{ps}$ (-3.5 kV, 1.5T)




- Gain $> 10^6$, $\sigma_{TTS} \sim 30\text{ps}$ in $B = 1.5\text{ T}$
: Confirmed





Lifetime: Q.E. of BINP




- Need Al-layer, too.
- Lifetime $\sim 300\text{mC}/\text{cm}^2$ even w/ Al layer
⇒ Need effort (Vacuum level)

MCP-PMT Performance



TTS of MCP-PMT w/ GaAs/GaAsP may be worse due to the thickness of photo-cathode. \implies **should be checked**


- multi(bi)-alkali(HPK/BINP) $\sim 100 \text{ \AA}$



- GaAsP (HPK) $\sim \mu\text{m}$




- GaAs (BINP) $\sim \mu\text{m}$: **Just delivered**



Measured MCP-PMT




	HPK	BINP
photo-cathode	multi-alkali GaAsP	multi-alkali (GaAs)
MCP ch ϕ	6 μm	
# of MCP	2stage	
anode	single	




GaAsP MCP-PMT performance



- Wave form, ADC and TDC distributions



- Enough gain to detect single photo-electron
- Good time resolution (TTS=42ps) for single p.e.
 - Slightly worse than single anode MCP-PMT (TTS=32ps)
- Next
 - Check the performance in detail
 - Develop with the target structure




Cross-talk




- Time resolution become worse due to cross talk of neighbor signals.
- To reduce cross talk, divide electrodes on MCP.
- S/N is improved from ~ 5 to ~ 10 .


[Old Type]



[New Type]



S/N ~ 5



S/N ~ 10

