## 8.13 - Oral Exam Evaluation - Fall 2002

8.13 - Oral Exam Evaluation - Fan 2002
Exam Date:
tudent:
xperiment:
artner: eviewer:
CVICWCI.
Each section is graded on a 1-10 scale with an '8' being a good solid performance. Higher grades can arned by going 'beyond' the labguide or by exhibiting exceptional laboratory technique. No letter grade assigned until the end of the term, permitting the balancing numerical grades given in each section.
Motivation and Theory: 30%
fundamentals, no long derivations, critical relationships expressed,
Understanding of Experiment and Quality of Data: 40%
Show & discuss apparatus, calibrations, gives primary data and reduced data graphics.
Error Analysis, Interpretation and Conclusions: 20%
Shows how $\delta Y$ is derived, discusses systematics and random uncertainties, compares results to known values.
Presentation Quality: 10%
Timing ok, message clear, good use of graphics and text.
Lab Notebook: (1-10)
names, dates, good narrative, sketches of apparatus, sketches of raw data, clear data analysis procedures, etc.
Two Dago Summows (1 100 Theory 2007 Experiments 4007 Clarity & Styles 2007)
Two Page Summary: (1-100, Theory: 30%, Experiment: 40%, Clarity & Style: 30%) good abstract, clear introduction, appropriate experimental discussion, data and error analysis, conclusions, citations, grammar, spelling